

# THE SYSTEM OF ANTHROPOGONIA (ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΓΟΝΙΑ) (A Functionalist Model for Philosophy of Mind)

Meric Bilgic

Evolutionary Algorithm (EA) of Intelligence (Error Theory of the Mind and Metaphysics)										Psychopathology of Consciousness	Adjustment and Universalization of the Mind (Truth Theory of the Mind)				ANTHROPOGONIA Philosophy of the Future								
Biological Evolution		Cognitive evolution		A priori Cognitive Categories of Intelligence (Non-paradoxically Defined in Category Theory)						Genetic Algorithm (GA) of Consciousness (The 3 <sup>rd</sup> System Processing over 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> ) Its Paradoxical Nature is Defined in Set Theory	Metamethodology: Methodological transformations of the Mind	PHILOSOPHY (The Map of Six Meditations)	ARTS and SCIENCES (MERIC SYSTEM) University of Justice and Love										
Evolutionary disposition	Brain evolution	Brain function	Cognitive objects	Mental faculties	Cognitive faculties	Objects of the faculties	Quadruple Core Categories of Cognition Affirmation: T→S // Negation: T→S Unity: S→T // Plurality: S→T monomorphism epimorphism epimorphism monomorphism (S) Simultaneity, (T) sequence of synaptic transmission						Recovery of historical-real deviations in the dialectical balance of intentions by defense mechanisms.	Philosophy begins with metaphysics, the relationship between the mind and mind-independence, and is complete with the problem of aesthetics.	Meric system classifies sciences and arts according to cognitive virtues (faculties) and the "meriological" ways of applying non-intentional cognitive categories on the intentional categories. It defines the a priori principles of metaphysics and their critical applications on the fields.								
															The Art of Anthropogonia								
<b>3rd system (Consciousness)</b> Stabilization	Neocortex	Rational judgement	Function, Judgement	Decision	Will = (R) <sup>2</sup>	End	<b>Evolutionary coding of the Category spiral</b>  (m)measure. m := d o i. (Difference of Identity), equivalently m = n o u o a o p. (a) autonomy. a := g o c. (Legitimacy of Causality) equivalently a = u o n o u o n o p o a o p a. (l)imitation. l := n o u o a. (Negation of Unity of Affirmation) (t)otality. t := u o n o p. (Unity of Negation of Plurality)  Four elements of the simplicial set σ=4. It is at least 3-dimensional: n=σ-1=3 (up to 11 dimensions).	<b>SELF-REPRESENTATIONAL HIGHER ORDER of CONSCIOUSNESS</b>  The Higher Order Quadruple Intentions Transcending the Paradoxical Limits of the Mind into Mental (m) and Physical (p) Entities:  $I = \forall C, \forall W, \forall p \in C [(C(p) \Rightarrow W(p)) \wedge (W(p) \Rightarrow C(p))] \wedge \forall C, \forall I, \forall m \in C [(I(m) \Rightarrow C(m)) \wedge (C(m) \Rightarrow I(m))]$  <b>Algebraic Topography of the Mind</b> Tetrahedron (triangular pyramidal): Global structure of the directed, functional network of the mind. Four elements of the simplicial set σ=4. It is at least 3-dimensional: n=σ-1=3 (up to 11 dimensions).	<b>Philosophical Anthropology:</b> Processing the GA over the EA (thinking) naturally transcends the paradoxical limits of consciousness and creates illusions. Additionally, imbalance of intentionality causes pathology, accumulates until today. The agricultural age and hierarchical patriarchal social life (the absolute power of state-God) terrified the Mind, de-centered it and destroyed the naturalistic Shamanic perception and aesthetics.	<b>Historicity ⇒ Rationality</b> <b>1. Metaphysics</b> 6. Aesthetics The relation of mind and mind-independence? May the idea in artwork or worker have a universal aesthetic value beyond the subjectivity of the self-creative act? Can the transcendental limits (and the mediator categories) of the mind be immanently drawn from within, and is it possible to recreate itself beyond itself? [Beauty] [Metaphysical Truth]	<b>ARTS-Universalization</b> <b>Noetic /Nous</b> Poietic / Téchne Esoteric Logic / Maths Critical meta-physics Audio / Visual Music / Painting Diction / Sculpture Singing / Graphic... Performance / Digital Dance, Theatre / Concert, Cinema Literature / Animation Drama, Fiction / Video games... Poetry, Oral literature... Sports, Dance / Yoga, Martial arts, Virtue ethics-habit training...	<b>The Doctrine of Anthropogonia</b> Paradoxical meta-theory for the paradoxical nature! <b>Nihilism:</b> The truth is that there is no truth! (Dividing the paradoxical set (Plato), mutual <i>reductio ad absurdum</i> (Kant), infinite regress meeting in the infinity are all wrong solutions.) Philosophy is the basic science and shouldn't be psycho-political opinion any more. "Culture-free, empty, pure philosophy!" <b>The Architecture of Anthropogonia</b> The constitutional principles of the mind and philosophy are paradoxical, perception and theory are illusion. Plain rationality and realistic metaphysics (dualism, idealism-materialism) are misleading.											
<b>2nd system (Psyche)</b> Selection	Limbic system	Self-modeling, Emotional balance	Symbol, Concept	Desire	Reason (R) = (I) <sup>2</sup>	Value	<b>(ψ) possibility.</b> ψ := d o n o u (Difference of Negation of Unity) equivalently ψ = n o u o n o u. <b>Le(g)itimacy.</b> g := s o u o n (Subject of Unity of Negation) equivalently g = u o n o u o n. <b>(u: Unity)</b> →  <b>(d)ifference.</b> d := n o u. (Negation of Unity) Its maximized idea: "Ego" Unity <b>(s)ubject.</b> s := u o n. (Unity of Negation) <b>(n: Negation)</b> → <b>(u: Unity)</b> →	<b>Intentions towards Mental States of Consciousness</b> <b>Internal</b> III. Intention: (Im → Cm) The internal mental entity what is internally experienced (?) (Tautology) Its maximized idea: "Ego" Unity <b>External</b> IV. Intention: (Cm → Im) (Is) the internal mental entity experienced external (?) (Contradiction) Its maximized idea: "Super Ego" Unity  <b>(a: Affirmation)</b> →	<b>Ego</b> Schizophrenia <b>Super-Ego</b> Paranoia <b>Sociality ⇒ Freedom</b> 2. Ethics 3. Ideology Is there a universal political law/lawmaker exist beyond believes and mentalities? so that the mind can reach itself as a lawmaker? (Im → Cm, EGO), [Good]	<b>PRACTICAL SCIENCES-Individualization/Phronesis</b> Humanities Social (Im → Cm) (Cm → Im) Psychology Applied ethics Education Media Identity and gender Human rights... History Anthropology Sociology Economy Law Political Sciences...	<b>THEORETICAL SCIENCES-Generalization/Episteme</b> Cognitive Physical (Wp → Cp) (Cp → Wp) Neuroscience AI Semiology Linguistics Languages Programming languages... Cosmology Astronomy Geology Physics Chemistry Biology...	<b>AESTHETICS</b> <b>ETHICS</b> <b>IDEOLOGY</b> <b>ONTOLOGY</b> <b>PISTEMOLOGY</b> <b>METAPHYSICS</b>											
<b>1st system (Sentience)</b> Random	Reptilian complex	Survival data	Sign, Object	Cognition (I)	Intelligence (I)	Meaning	<b>(π) probability.</b> π := i o a o p. (Identity of Affirmation of Plurality) equivalently π = a o p o a o p. <b>(c)ausality.</b> c := o o p o a. (Object of Plurality of Affirmation) equivalently c := p o a o p o a. <b>(p: Plurality)</b> →  <b>(i)entity.</b> i := a o p. (Affirmation of Plurality or Affirmation following Plurality) Its maximized idea: "Mind" (micro-Cosmos) <b>(o)bject.</b> o := p o a. (Plurality of Affirmation) Its maximized idea: "Cosmos" Plurality  <b>(a: Affirmation)</b> →	<b>Intentions towards Physical States of Consciousness</b> <b>Internal</b> II. Intention: (Wp → Cp) (Is) the external physical entity what is internally experienced (?) (Tautology) Its maximized idea: "Mind" (micro-Cosmos) <b>External</b> I. Intention: (Cp → Wp) (Is) the external physical entity experienced external (?) (Tautology) Its maximized idea: "Cosmos" Plurality  <b>(a: Affirmation)</b> →	<b>Micro-Cosmos</b> Pathology <b>Cosmos</b> Neurosis <b>Language ⇒ Truth</b> 4. Epistemology 5. Ontology Is it possible to correctly transform the mind-independent reality? Can mental quality be reduced to physical quality? (Wp → Cp, MIND) [Logical Truth]	<b>THEORETICAL SCIENCES-Generalization/Episteme</b> Cognitive Physical (Wp → Cp) (Cp → Wp) Neuroscience AI Semiology Linguistics Languages Programming languages... Cosmology Astronomy Geology Physics Chemistry Biology...	<b>AESTHETICS</b> <b>ETHICS</b> <b>IDEOLOGY</b> <b>ONTOLOGY</b> <b>PISTEMOLOGY</b> <b>METAPHYSICS</b>												
3. Human neocortex				Relation Becoming Quantity Quality Being Modality		<b>Hybrid AI Model: Cellular Automaton + Interactive Neural Network</b> 						The geometrical topology of this neural network model defines a tetrahedron. The first-order intentional function of (I) is about Cosmos: (C→W), (II) is about micro-Cosmos (or Mind, "Soul" in Kant) (W→C), (III) is about Ego (I→C), and (IV) is about super-Ego (State-God) (C→I). These four mimetic codes of the mind also have a relationship between each other in three (→, ← and ↔) directed cases (x=3). The numbers of the elements of the simplicial set σ=4. Four elements have six connections (m=6) between each other. In the philosophical tradition, the directed cases between these concepts (Ego, State-God, Cosmos and Soul), which are the elements of the simplicial set here, are one-directed cases with the "rational" unique possible configuration (x <sup>m</sup> = 1 <sup>6</sup> = 1), because the mind is considered as a two-dimensional well-ordered micro-cosmos by realist metaphysics, like a perfected map just as Spinoza's <i>Ordine Geometrico Demonstrata</i> . However, if they could count three simplices, they would see an enormously big simplicial complex (S) with (x <sup>m</sup> = 3 <sup>6</sup> = 729) seven hundred and twenty-nine configurations of the ways of explanation rather than one (1!). (Only Hegel's fractal triangles with three elements (m) and three (thesis) directions (x) achieved x <sup>m</sup> =3 <sup>3</sup> = 27 configurations). Additionally, they are totally paradoxical connections full of aporias, tautologies, contradictions, antinomies, paralogism. None of them is true, they all are illusions! The sick accumulations of the twelve thousand year psychological era of the history must be buried in the ground by taking the necessary lessons and the cosmopolis must be refreshed by giving therapy to the civilization.											
2. Mammalian Limbic system		Legitimacy Subjectivity Unity Negation Difference Possibility		Causality Object Plurality Affirmation Identity Probability		Defining the axioms of a formal system by reference to its outputs is condemned to defining the axioms by infinitely other axioms (Gödel's incompleteness). All definitions of cognition and categories in the history of philosophy are paradoxical until Russell paradoxes: <b>Plato:</b> Concepts are <i>causa sui</i> and have super-natural reality. <b>Aristotle:</b> Concepts, categories are identical with natural reality. <b>Kant:</b> Categories are originated from the forms of judgement. <b>Hegel:</b> Categories belong to a historical general mind and evolve from subjective perception of natural reality to socially sharing objective judgement and finally to universal truth of super-natural reality. ←Anthropogonia defines categories with their neurological subatomic components: The maps of morphisms of Simultaneity and Sequence.							Reference: Bilgic, M. (2022). <i>Critique of Rationality</i> . Berlin, Oxford, Brussels, Viyana, New York: Peter Lang										